Slow Controls Planning

DAQ meeting in LHC-B week 4 December 1996 P. Mato, CERN

Outline

- Why am I talking about Controls now?
- Requirements for the LHC-B Control System
- Some words about EPICS
- Our Plans

Why am I talking about Controls now?

- Common project for all LHC experiments
 - Support from the ECP/CO group.
 - It seems reasonable to start collaborating from now.
- Other LHC collaborations are taking decisions now:
 - They want to equip test beams with the *"definite"* system.
 - They are in favour of EPICS.
 - They are requesting a long term commitment from ECP/CO.

Current ideas about the Control System

- Function: Control, monitor and supervise all the detector components and the infrastructure of the experiment.
 Does not include the physics data acquisition.
- Scope of the system
 - Wider than the traditional Slow Control (including Run Control?)
 - All levels: from the hardware to the interaction with the operator
- A supervisory hierarchy of loosely coupled sub-systems
- Natural integration with other systems (Safety, DAQ, Data monitoring, etc..)

Control hierarchy



Some General Requirements

- The control system should integrate smoothly with other systems at the experiment. Examples:
 - Sharing the network & computing infrastructure with DAQ
 - Same user interface (GUI, status display, etc..)
 - Interconnected configuration databases.
 - Well defined and flexible architecture is needed.
 - Built out of well defined functional components (building blocks).
 - Sharing building blocks ==> helps integration.
 - Technology evolution is done by changing components.

What's EPICS

- An architecture for building scaleable control systems.
- Collection of code and documentation (software toolkit)
- ♦ Collaboration

EPICS Collaboration

- Primarily the work at Los Alamos & Argonne.
- Groups at CEBAF, LBL and DESY have joined.
- There are 44 EPICS sites in total:
 - Accelerators
 - Detectors
 - Telescopes
 - Commercial

EPICS Architecture

Software

Several Unix flavours, Archiver Appl. Display Alarm VAX/VMS Mgr. Mgr. programs X Windows/Motif Network (ethernet, **ChannelAccess** FDDI, ATM) (EPICS software bus)

Server layer Database layer Distributed DB Record Activity **VME/VXI** crates IOC **VxWorks** Input/Output Device drivers Field buses (GPIB) RS-232, etc.

OPI

LAN

Hardware/OS

TPC/IP

EPICS Architecture (2)



EPICS Architecture (3)

- The kernel of the Architecture is the *Channel Access* (EPICS software Bus).
- The fundamental entity is a record in the distributed database. It has a name, value, other attributes, etc.
 - 25 different record types (Input, Output, Algorithms)
 - The user can link several records to create complex control functions. (Programming)
- The IOC (VME processor) initializes with a local configuration text file.
- The Channel Access is asynchronous.
- A change in a value of a record may trigger an event to be send to the client application.

EPICS Software toolkit

Tools for configuration and edition. Utility tools.

– Alarmer, Archiver, ...

- EPICS is free of charge
 (Other tools in kit are not always free)
- ◆ Distribution via source code.
 - Guaranteed flexibility.
 - Bugs can be fix quickly.
 - Expertise in-house needed.
 - Many flavours...
- Some documentation and training exists. It lacks consistency.

Some issues about the suitability of EPICS for LHC-B

- With the existing EPICS products we could build the system we need. If there is reasonable support from CERN.
- Is the **architecture** right?
 - Record (channel) as basic entity.
 - Supervisory hierarchy.
 - Central configuration database.
- ◆ Is the **technology** right?
 - Will it be available in 2005?
 - What about C++? Corba? Object databases?
 - Web interface?

Some issues about the suitability of EPICS (2)

- How integrates with the other systems?
 - Safety system
 - Data acquisition system
 - Data quality/monitoring system
- Is EPICS a turn-key system? If not: How much manpower is needed?
- Can we decide TODAY what should be the LHC-B control system in 2005?

Plans

- EPICS should be evaluated.
 - ECP/CO has already started an evaluation.
 - ATLAS & CMS plans to use it in test beam.
 - An EPICS course was organized (LHC-B was represented).
 - We should follow closely this activity.



- We have started to put together our User Requirements.
 - ATLAS & CMS have produced URD drafts.
 - After the evaluation is done we should confront our Requirements with EPICS.

Plans (2)

- Field-buses and hardware interface standards
 - We should understand them.
 - Better if we use CERN wide standards.
 - Guidelines should be provided to subdetector groups.
 - We do not plan to use EPICS neither the "final" Control System for our test beam activities.