

Using the Conditions Database in LHCb Ph.Charpentier, LHCb SW week, May 2005

Outline:

- $_{\rm O}$ Introduction: some definitions
- Online usage
- Offline usage
- Hardware infrastructure

LHCD Definitions

Conditions Database

- Contains all information that has a time dependence (interval of validity) and is necessary to perform reconstruction or analysis of data
- Examples:
 - ▹ Alignment and calibration constants
 - ▹ Some online configuration parameters
 - Ambient parameters relevant for reconstruction (pressure in RICH, presence of HV on detectors)
 - ▷ Some machine parameters (e.g. energy, luminosity...)
 - Not present: current in chambers, individual bunch currents, temperature and pressure probes (unless relevant for reconstruction)...

LHCC Definitions (cont'd)

- Configuration Database (see Clara's talk)
 - Used by the Online system in order to configure the whole system
 - Readout configuration, electronics boards parameters, FPGA programs, pedestals etc...
 - □ For the EFF (relevant for the ConditionsDB)
 - \blacktriangleright version of applications to be used
 - Run-time configuration of parameters ("jobOptions")
 - ▷ Tag of the ConditionsDB to be used
- Online PVSS archive
 - Contains all parameters controlled by the ECS
 - □ The memory of the detector

LHCC Sources and Types of Conditions

o Sources

- The Online system (see Clara's talk)
 - ▷ Configuration parameters, hardware readings, DCS parameters...
 - ▷ Few Online alignment/calibration constants (e.g. VELO × positions)
- Offline alignment and calibration
 - Obtained from offline algorithms: internal alignment, global alignment, calorimeter calibration, corrections etc...

o Types

- Online Conditions
 - ▷ COOL Single-version folders
- Offline Conditions
 - ▷ All others, even if produced in the Online environment

LHCK Online Usage

Conditions are used by applications running in the EFF

□ L1, HLT & Brunel

0

- Reproducibility: L1 and HLT algorithms must be possible to be re-run offline using the same Conditions as Online
 - № No such constraints for Brunel, as only used for hotline analysis
 - ▶ L1 and HLT should only use Online Conditions or tagged Offline Conditions
- Uploading Conditions during Configuration of EFF-nodes
 - Offline Conditions: corresponding to a tag (obtained from the ConfigDB)
 - ▷ The tag is saved in the ConditionsDB, valid from configuration time
 - Online Conditions: currently valid Conditions are used
 - \bowtie Note that Conditions might not be recorded while not data taking

LHCD Online Conditions update

Some Online Conditions may change during data taking...

- If used by Online Applications, they must be uploaded to the EFF
 - $\hfill \hfill \hfill$
 - ≏ Validation procedure is up to sub-systems (filters in Clara's talk)

Proposed procedure

- ▷ If the run is stopped/paused
 - ≏ New value stored in ConditionsDB with current time as start of validity
- ▶ If the run is going
 - ≏ Problem with offline reproducibility of Conditions (time not well defined)
 - $\hfill \cong$ The assigned validity time is set to CurrentTime+ Δt , Condition is stored in the ConditionsDB
 - ⊕ Uploaded to the Online CondDBAccessSvc with that validity time. Use Gaucho commands to communicate.

 - Δ t must be larger than the time needed to upload to the whole farm (few seconds?

LHCC Online Conditions update: remarks

- It is explicitly assumed that no Offline Conditions need uploading during data taking
 - This rule is true by definition
 - № "L1 and HLT should only use Online Conditions or tagged Offline Conditions"
 - If new Offline Conditions are produced and one wants them to be immediately applicable Online: tag them, stop the run, update the ConfigDB with the new tag value, restart a run
 - By experience this is an irrelevant situation...
- Conditions that need different update filters for trigger and offline
 - Example: pressure variation 0.5 mbar for HLT, 0.1 for offline
 - Should be stored in different CondDB folders

CHCC Online "jobOptions"

• Remember there are two++ types of jobOptions

- Application configuration
 - Sequences, algorithms, System required options (node name, SFC address, Controls PC...)
 - ▷ Stable during the whole lifetime of the application
 - $\[therefore \]$ No need to upload
 - Correspond to a given Configuration version (saved in the ConditionsDB)
- Algorithm parameters
 - ▷ As in Offline applications default values should be in the code
 - ▷ Offline: they can be overwritten by jobOptions
 - ► Online:
 - Defaults can be overwritten by the Configuration (jobOptions)
 - New values can be uploaded during the run but need to be saved as Online Conditions. Use same procedure as for other Online Conditions update.

LHCK jobOptions: remarks

- An application Configuration cannot be modified for a running application: Online and Offline (at least for a foreseeable future...)
 - Questions:

0

- How to configure an Offline application for a given set of events, not known a priori? Needs first event's time...
- How to deal Offline with different Online application configurations in a given set of events?
- No access to the ConfigDB from an Offline application... Hence the configuration itself should be saved, not the version
 - ≏ Problem of algorithm version (code)... No way currently to dynamically select at run time
- Algorithm parameters update
 - The Online jobOptions service needs to subscribe to the corresponding Condition (use naming convention)
 - Declare a dependency on that Condition to the Update Manager
 - ▷ Overwrites parameter value when valid

LHCL Trigger parameter settings

- Might change during running to accommodate changes in luminosity
 - Only every few hours (LHCb notes 2000-008 and -095)
- Possible scenarios
 - Predefined sets of parameters (in ConfigurationsDB)
 - Values computed by Online trigger monitoring algorithms adjusting the bandwidths (new thresholds, prescalings)
- Actions to be taken
 - □ For LO thresholds, need to pause the run before changing
 - □ L1 & HLT: treat as any Online Condition.
 - \triangleright Set validity date to a + Δ t, store in ConditionsDB and upload to the EFF
- o Offline
 - Get parameters from the ConditionsDB to overwrite defaults

LHCL Using Offline Conditions in trigger algorithms

• Requirement:

 Use different (alignment) conditions in a single application (between trigger and reconstruction algorithms)

o Proposal

- Use different DetectorElements (mandatory)
 - ▹ However they should have an identical structure
 - ▷ Only difference: dependence on different Conditions
- Option 1 (preferred) : Use two DetectorDataSvc
 - ▷ Two methods in GaudiAlgorithm for service access
 - \blacktriangleright Use same path in TDS
 - Online, instantiate only one service (no problem if both methods return the same service)
- Option 2: Use naming convention (e.g. /dd/online/xxx parallel structure to /dd/xxx)

LHCC Offline usage of Conditions

- From ConditionsDB, valid at the event time (GPS time)
 - Recording time cannot be used as the same time must be used Online and Offline
- Offline Conditions
 - During production, should be pretty stable throughout the whole file, but not mandatory...
 - □ For sparse event sets, may need full update at each event...

• Online Conditions

- May require some updates during processing
 - ▶ Warning: events are not in chronological order on files!
 - △ Alternative: sort them....
 - ▷ For events taken around changes of Conditions, might go forth and back
- Reproducibility
 - Ensured by construction as trigger applications only use Online Conditions and tagged Offline Conditions (the tag is an Online Condition itself!)

LHCC Online alignment Conditions

- Some alignment constants may be obtained Online
 - Currently only a few parameters for each VELO half
 - Should be stored (by definition) as Online Conditions
 - If required also as Offline Conditions, should be replicated in the ConditionsDB in a different folder
 - This ensures reproducibility of trigger results at any point in time
- Online full alignment is not considered as an option
 - Alignment must be stable (including VELO in fact!) to better than the detector precision
 - If alignment changes, it justifies a change of run and probably would occur at a change of fill (no emergency)

LHCL Magnetic field condition

- It would be inefficient to consider the magnetic field map as a condition
 - □ To be reloaded at each fill
 - flipping when analyzing offline events sets
- o Proposal
 - Have two maps that may coexist in memory (loaded on demand, but staying)
 - □ Field polarity is an Online Condition
 - Depending on the polarity, the field service will use the corresponding map.
 - ▷ Field service registers for polarity to the Update Manager...

LHCC Where are ConditionDB(s)?

- Two logical sub-databases
 - One for Online Conditions: updated in real-time by the Online system.
 - ▷ Physically at pit 8
 - Who ensures stability of service? (same question for ConfigDB and PVSS archive DB)
 - One for Offline Conditions: updated offline after careful checking
 - ▷ Physically at Tier-0, run by IT
- Both replicated at either site, but only one update site
- Synchronization
 - Use Oracle streaming to populate Offline Conditions in the Online DB and vice-versa

LHCC Conclusions

- The proposed scheme fulfills so far all requirements:
 - Usage of CondDB for L1 & HLT defined
 - Full reproducibility of Conditions used Online when running later Offline
 - Simplicity of implementation, using COOL IoV mechanism
 - Single update site for each folder
- A few open questions remain for configuring offline applications from Online configurations depending on time.
 Needs a careful evaluation of the use case...
- Still to be done:
 - Full definition of Online CondDB services, update mechanism (using DIM/Gaucho)
 - Online updates mechanism (see Clara's talk)