

Some comments on possible resources for LHCb computing, and some related activities

F Harris(Oxford)

23 March 2000



Overview of presentation

- WG of national representatives and their mandate
- Overview of country situations (broad characteristics) for resource planning
- Some GRID related activities (UK Grid + 'HEP Applications' for EU-GRID)
- Comment on tapes/disks and LHCb activities



LHCb national computing contacts

Brazil	P Colrain
CERN	J Harvey
France	A Tsaregorodtsev(Marseille)
Germany	M Schmelling(MPI Heid)
Italy	D Galli, U Marconi(Bologna)
Holland	M Merk(NIKHEF)
Poland	M Witek(Cracow)
Russia	I Belyaev(ITEP)
Spain	B.Adeva(Santiago), G.Gracia
Switzerlan	d P Bartalini(Lausanne)
UK	AHalley(Glasgow),TBowcock(Liverpool)

a) Follow what is going on in country for national LHC computing planningb) Act as interface for mapping from overall experiment strategy



Overview of current situation

- **DISCLAIMER** Nothing is 'agreed' in the MOU sense (requires negotiations in collaboration and with funding agencies), but we have the following viewpoint
 - we are trying to apply 1/3, 2/3 rule overall
 - Good candidates for regional centres are
 - Tier1 Lyon, INFN, RAL, Nikhef
 - Tier2 Liverpool, Glasgow/Edinburgh
 - Discussions going on
 - Russia (?Tier1 for all expts ? Networking)
 - Switzerland (? Tier2 centre for LHCb)
 - Germany (? LHCb use of a national centre)
 - Discussions just beginning
 - Spain (? Tier2 centres with Lyon as Tier1)
 - Poland
 - Brazil

23 March 2000

F Harris World Wide Panel



Look at UK since planning /negotiations relatively advanced...

- Computing requirements for 2001-3 for UK/LHCb dominated by detector (RICH+VELO) construction + some trigger optimisation (physics background studies in general start late 2003 but some now)
 - CPU(PC99) STORAGE (TB)
 - 2001 200-400 5-10
 - 2002 200-400 5-10
 - 2003 400-600 10-20
- Satisfied(?) by MAP(Liverpool) + JIF (all 4 LHC expts)
 - JIF proposal (know result late 2000)
 - CPU(PC99) STORAGE (TB) + networking enhancement
 - 2001 830 25
 - 2002 1670 50
 - 2003 3100 125
- Beyond 2003 community hopes JIF scale grows to ?? (see GRID etc.)



Strategy for other LHCb countries

- Make case to funding agencies based on
 - Detector etc. studies 2001-2
 - Physics +trigger studies up to startup
 - By startup have facilities in place to match pro-rata requirement for whole expt (see experiment model)
 - Each country has its own constraints (financial, existing infrastructure, etc.) leading to different possibilities for Tier-1/2)
 - Get involved in GRID related activities as appropriate(?manpower)







MAP (Monte Carlo Array Processor) Univ Liverpool

• Status

- 300 Processors
- All Processors tested
- In production for about 6 weeks
- produces about 240,000 LHCb events in 24 hrs (for VD related studies)
- 10**7 events produced to date for LHCb VELO detector optimisation, and background studies (10**7 events take 10 TB of storage)
- used by LHCb and ATLAS

• Current development activities

- Models for data analysis from remote sites
- resource management/logging
- production and management of large datasets
- distributed data bases
- COMPASS analysis stations (1 TB store)

• Mapping to the GRID

- Put this operation in GRID software framework ,linking to RAL and seeing functionality of sytem with farm producing MC data (raw + ESD +AOD) for accessing by physics analysis processing locally and at RAL
- Will need new resources (networking, equipment, manpower)
- 23 March 2000 F Harris World Wide Panel



Glasgow/Edinburgh proposal

- Joint proposal by ATLAS/LHCb (prototyping studies getting ready for LHC)
 - MC Farm at Glasgow
 - Datastore at Edinburgh
 - Study data transfer and analysis over the same network link
 - Later hook to RAL Tier1 centre and later become part of GRID structure
 - Other interested parties
 - Edinburgh Parallel Computing Centre (data mining etc. with large data stores)
 - Astronomers (large sky surveys)
 - Uses for LHCb
 - MC studies Bs Ds K, Bd,Bs KK/K pi/pi pi channels
 - Major technical issues
 - Middleware (e.g. database and graphics software)
 - 23 March 2000F HarrisWorld Wide Panel10



Thoughts on mass storage usage (see our note)

- We would like as much active data online on disk as possible
- Use tape for archiving 'old' data (? Some have suggested all disk systems- but how do you decide when/what to throw away)
- R/D try strategy of moving job to the data (Liverpool COMPASS)
- ? If 2.5 Gb/s networks prove not to be affordable then we may need to move data by tape. Don't want to do that if possible!